

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                            | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/469,904                                 | 12/22/1999  | THEODORE K BULLOCK   | TN170                   | 5514             |
| 7590 01/13/2004                            |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| ROCCO L. ADORNATO                          |             |                      | QUELER, ADAM M          |                  |
| UNISYS CORPORATION<br>UNISYS WAY MS/E8-114 |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| BLUE BELL, PA 19424-0001                   |             |                      | 2178                    | 30               |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 09/469,904  | BULLOCK ET AL.  |  |  |  |
| ·  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|  | Adam M Queler   | 2178  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondenc address   |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apperexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | void abandonment of this application to the supplication of the supplication with the supplication with the supplication of the supplication with the supplication of | cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE  | PLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH<br>te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1<br>sion and the corresponding amount of the<br>I statutory period for reply originally set in   | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee afee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b   | ecause:   |   |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |   |   |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |   |   |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or   | in better form for appeal by mat  | erially reducing or simplifying the   |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel  | ling a corresponding number of  | finally rejected claims.  |  |  |  |
| NOTE:  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject   | ction(s):   |   |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | l be allowable if submitted in a s  | separate, timely filed amendment  |  |  |  |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See   |   | sidered but does NOT place the  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | cause it is not directed SOLELY   | to issues which were newly  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w  |   |   |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-42.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ app   | proved or b) disapproved by   | the Examiner.   |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme  | ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  | <del>.</del>  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance becaus: It would have been obvious to have a master web site, if only to provide the header, so that all customized sites would have a similar look, theme and feel, as shown by the similarities between page 2 and page 4.